

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda
Item No.

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise,
Tourism and the Environment
to

Traffic and Parking Working Party
And Cabinet Committee

On
15th June 2009

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry

Petitions Received- An Information Item
Executive Councillor: Councillor Anna Waite
A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

To advise Members of petitions received since the last meeting.

2. Recommendation

That the petition requests are noted.

3. Background

- 3.1 A petition has been received through Councillor Robin requesting parking controls to deter visitor parking in the area of Burnaby Road, Arnold Avenue and Burdett Road and provide residents with a better opportunity to park near to their homes. The petition contains 75 signatories.
- 3.2 A petition has been received through Councillor Assenheim requesting the proposed extension to National Cycle Network 16 - Seafront Route be diverted from the designated route onto a privately owned road, exiting in High Street, and rejoining the seafront route via George Street. The petition contains 49 signatories.
- 3.3 A petition has been received from residents regarding the introduction of a resident parking permit system in The Leas. The petition contains 13 signatories

4. Other Options

Action appropriate works to accede to the request.

5. Reason for Recommendations.

To acknowledge general views of issues and consider where appropriate

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities

The petition detailed in **3.1** would only proceed to implementation with funding and where the request is consistent with or promotes the Council's Vision and Critical Priorities; chiefly implementing the Local Transport Plan and seek to improve Customer Satisfaction. Where funding might be proposed from a non-Council source a proposal would only proceed to implementation if at the least it would be likely to contribute to customer satisfaction. Action in this area is expected to be undertaken in the current financial year, as part of the Council's Capital programme.

The petition in **3.2** requests that action previously agreed in accordance with the Local Transport Plan, in accordance with our planned cycle network improvement plan within the Cycling Demonstration Town bid, previously agreed under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act and the requisite funding in place, be amended.

The petition detailed in **3.3** would only proceed to implementation with funding and where the request is consistent with or promotes the Council's Vision and Critical Priorities; chiefly implementing the Local Transport Plan and seek to improve Customer Satisfaction. Where funding might be proposed from a non-Council source a proposal would only proceed to implementation if at the least it would be likely to contribute to customer satisfaction.

6.2 Financial Implications

None at this stage

6.3 Legal Implications

None at this stage

6.4 People Implications

None at this stage

6.5 Property Implications

None

6.6 Consultation

None at this stage

6.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

Officers do not consider that the petitions raise any equality or diversity issues.

6.8 Risk Assessment

The principle risk to the Council from the outcome of this report is a negative impact on Customer Satisfaction. However the purpose of this standard report is to mitigate that risk by putting traffic and highways petitions into the public domain.

6.9 Value for Money

Not applicable at this stage

6.10 Community Safety Implications

None at this stage

6.11 Environmental Impact

None at this stage

7. Background Papers & Appendices

None